

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline:**            **Quality Patient Management**

2  
3 **Date of Implementation:**            **April 24, 2003**

4  
5 **Contact:**                                **Clinical Care Management**  
6    **Clinical Quality Management**

7  
8  
9 Promoting quality patient management is an American Specialty Health Affiliates  
10 (ASHA) mandate. ASHA requires that contracted practitioners adhere to reasonable  
11 practice parameters. Diagnosis/evaluation and treatment are two significant parameters of  
12 clinical decision-making. The practitioner must demonstrate a clinically appropriate  
13 approach to his/her clinical decision-making process. This approach is dependent upon  
14 the clinical knowledge and experience of the practitioner, his/her skill in clinical  
15 assessment, deductive reasoning, and pattern recognition.

16  
17 This document, in conjunction with Risk Factor Assessment as described in ASHA  
18 Policy QM 7 Patient Safety – The Prevention, Recognition, and Management of Adverse  
19 Outcomes, will assist the practitioner in understanding the level of assessment and  
20 documentation that is appropriate and how this documentation demonstrates clinical  
21 practices consistent with ASHA-approved practice parameters and management of  
22 expected clinical outcomes. Once received by ASHA, the clinical data found in the  
23 submitted documents serves as the basis for the clinical services manager’s evaluation of  
24 the practitioner’s clinical decision-making in terms of clinical services management  
25 decisions and treatment utilization.

26  
27 **Practitioner Involvement in the ASHA Clinical Services Management Program**

28 With the exception of services covered under the practitioners applicable treatment form  
29 waiver, clinical information including current pertinent subjective and objective clinical  
30 findings must be submitted to ASHA for verification of medical necessity of additional  
31 services. The practitioner must include adequate patient demographic information to  
32 accurately identify the patient as a member: use of ASHA forms is strongly encouraged  
33 to ensure adequate information is submitted. In the event that the documentation is either  
34 illegible or incomplete, Clinical Services Management Administration (CSMA) staff  
35 contacts the practitioner for clarification: the practitioner is allowed the opportunity to  
36 provide the necessary information; failure to do so could result in an administrative non-  
37 approval of the submitted treatment/services. Upon successful administrative review, the  
38 documentation is sent to a licensed, credentialed, peer clinical services manager for  
39 verification of medical necessity.

40  
41 Treating practitioners are expected to have ongoing communication with a referring  
42 health care provider, where applicable, and co-management of the patient’s episode of

1 care between practitioners is expected. Factors that may affect the expected response of  
2 the member are considered. Examples include surgical procedures, member age, co-  
3 morbidities, past medical history, response to previous treatment, mode of onset, severity,  
4 and psychosocial and occupational factors. ASHA does not set diagnosis-specific  
5 treatment frequency or duration limitations. Each case is evaluated considering all  
6 pertinent clinical evidence for that member's unique clinical situation. It is understood  
7 that similar case presentations may be handled in similar fashion with reasonably  
8 consistent results. For a given diagnosis the effect of variability in general health status  
9 (age, gender, past medical history, psychosocial factors, and presence of co-morbid  
10 conditions) make the use of diagnosis-specific treatment duration and frequency limits  
11 inherently untenable. If the member has previously accessed a benefit managed by  
12 ASHA, the results of previous case evaluations are available to the clinical services  
13 manager.

14  
15 The practitioner is notified by fax of one of three potential outcomes of the evaluation of  
16 the submitted treatment/services. These are: *Approval*, *Partial Approval*, or *Non-*  
17 *approval* of the submitted treatment/services. The notification includes the name,  
18 telephone number, and extension of the clinical services manager who completed the  
19 evaluation. Clinical services managers are available by telephone to respond to any  
20 questions or inquiries regarding the clinical services management program or a specific  
21 issue related to a case. In the event the fax transmission is unsuccessful, expedient  
22 alternatives for the delivery of the response form are implemented.

23  
24 *Approval*: ASHA clinical services management has the responsibility to approve  
25 appropriate medically necessary care. The clinical services managers evaluate the clinical  
26 data supplied by the practitioner in order to determine whether the initiation or  
27 continuation of care has been documented as medically necessary. The purpose of the  
28 practitioner's initial assessment and subsequent assessments of the member is to estimate  
29 the treatment plan/program needs of the member. The ASHA practitioner is accountable  
30 to document the medical necessity of all services submitted/provided. It is the  
31 responsibility of the peer clinical services manager to evaluate the documentation in  
32 accordance with their training, understanding of practice parameters, and review criteria  
33 adopted by ASHA.

34  
35 *Partial Approval*: Occurs when only a portion of the submitted treatment/services is  
36 initially approved. The partial approval may refer to a decrease in treatment frequency,  
37 treatment duration, DME/supply/appliance, or type of services submitted. This decision  
38 may be due to the practitioner's documentation of findings that are inconsistent with the  
39 clinical conclusion, and/or treatment dosage (frequency/duration) is not supported by the  
40 underlying diagnostic or clinical features. In many cases, the clinical documentation  
41 supplied only provides sufficient information to establish the need to initiate a trial of  
42 care. In these circumstances, the clinical services manager will provide a partial approval

1 of the practitioner's submitted treatment/services. Additional submitted  
 2 treatments/services may be reviewed after evaluating the progress of the initial  
 3 treatment/services. These procedures allow the member to receive appropriate care but  
 4 take into account the variable responses that a member may have to the clinical  
 5 intervention. If the practitioner disagrees with the partial approval to the submitted  
 6 treatment/services, he/she may contact the clinical services manager listed on their  
 7 response form to discuss the case, submit additional documentation utilizing the  
 8 reconsideration process, or submit additional documentation to appeal the decision.

9  
 10 *Non-approval:* Occurs when none of the submitted treatment/services are approved. The  
 11 most common causes for a non-approval of treatment/services are  
 12 administrative/contractual (e.g., ineligibility, reached plan benefit limits). It is appropriate  
 13 not to approve ongoing treatment/services if the member's condition is no longer  
 14 responding favorably to the treatment/services being rendered by the treating practitioner.

### 15 **Additional Care**

16 Approval of an additional course of treatment/services requires submission of additional  
 17 information, including patient response and updated clinical findings. In those cases  
 18 where an additional course of treatment/services is submitted, the decision to approve  
 19 additional treatment/services will be based on the following criteria:

- 20 • The member has made clinically significant progress under the initial treatment  
 21 plan/program. Clinically significant progress may be noted on a reliable and valid  
 22 outcome tool. Determining that progress is clinically significant requires  
 23 correlation with the overall clinical presentation, including updated subjective and  
 24 objective examination findings.
- 25 • Additional clinically significant progress can be reasonably expected by  
 26 continued treatment.
- 27 • The member has not reached maximum therapeutic benefit (MTB) or maximum  
 28 medical improvement (MMI).
- 29 • There is no indication that immediate care/evaluation is required by other health  
 30 care professionals.

31  
 32  
 33 Any exacerbation or flare-up of the condition that contributes to the need for additional  
 34 treatment/services must be documented.

### 35 **Supportive Care**

36 Supportive care is defined as treatment/services for members having reached maximum  
 37 therapeutic benefit (MTB) and for whom periodic trials for therapeutic withdrawal fail to  
 38 sustain previous therapeutic gains that would otherwise progressively deteriorate.  
 39 Supportive care follows appropriate application of passive and active care, including  
 40 lifestyle modification.

1 It is appropriate to approve additional treatment/services if the need for supportive care is  
 2 demonstrated. Supportive care may be inappropriate when it interferes with other  
 3 appropriate primary care or when the risk of supportive care (e.g., practitioner  
 4 dependence, somatization, illness behavior, or secondary gain) outweighs its benefits.

5  
 6 Ancillary diagnostic procedures should be selected based on clinical history and  
 7 examination findings that suggest the necessity to rule out underlying pathology or to  
 8 confirm a diagnosis that cannot be verified through less invasive methods.

- 9 • Information is expected to directly impact the treatment/services and course of  
 10 care
- 11 • Benefit of the procedure outweighs the risk to the member's health (short and  
 12 long term)
- 13 • Procedure is sensitive and specific for the condition being evaluated (e.g., an  
 14 appropriate procedure is utilized to evaluate for pathology).

### 15 16 **Clinical Decision-Making Process**

17 The goals of the clinical decision making process, which occur at both the practitioner-  
 18 member interface and the interface between practitioner and ASHA, are to review for  
 19 approval, as appropriate, those clinical treatments/services necessary to return the  
 20 member to pre-clinical/pre-morbid health status or stabilize a chronic condition.

21  
 22 The clinical information the clinical services manager expects to see when evaluating the  
 23 documentation in support of the medical necessity of submitted treatment/services may  
 24 include but is not limited to:

- 25 • History:
  - 26 ○ Past and familial history
  - 27 ○ Chief complaint
    - 28 ▪ Onset/Duration
      - 29 □ Type/Mechanism
      - 30 □ Insidious/Spontaneous
    - 31 ▪ Initial date of onset/surgery
    - 32 ▪ Stage/Nature/Cause(s)
      - 33 □ Acute, sub-acute, chronic
      - 34 □ Initial occurrence, exacerbation, chronic recurrent
    - 35 ▪ Severity of pain/functional limitation
    - 36 ▪ Frequency of pain/functional limitation
  - 37 ○ Other co-morbidity and medical or surgical management
- 38  
 39 • Physical Examination/Evaluation [commensurate with the nature and severity of  
 40 the presenting complaint(s) and scope of the practitioner of services]:
  - 41 ○ General review of systems
  - 42 ○ Orthopedic assessment

- 1 ○ Neurological assessment
- 2 ○ Biomechanical assessment
- 3 ○ Functional outcome measure
- 4 ○ Nutritional assessment
- 5 ○ Psychosocial/Lifestyle
- 6 ○ Specialty/situation –specific evaluation (traditional oriental medicine, ADLs,  
7 disability/impairment rating, etc.)

### 9 **Outcome Expectations Considered in Case Evaluation**

10 Within the context of the expected natural progression of the condition and considering  
11 member compliance, submitted treatment/services are evaluated to see if they are  
12 expected and likely to:

- 13 ● Increase rate or quality of tissue repair;
- 14 ● Accelerate return to functional status or stabilize functional capacities;
- 15 ● Decrease time to reach pre-clinical status, if clinically appropriate;
- 16 ● Substantially decrease or resolve pain and/or other symptoms;
- 17 ● Decrease or prevent adverse sequelae or complications;
- 18 ● Reduce or eliminate risk of relapse or recurrence.

19  
20 Ancillary diagnostic procedures should be selected based on clinical history and  
21 examination findings that suggest the necessity to rule out underlying pathology or to  
22 confirm a diagnosis that cannot be verified through less invasive methods.

- 23 ● Information derived from the procedures is expected to directly impact the  
24 treatment/services and course of care
- 25 ● The benefit of the procedure should outweigh the risk to the member's health  
26 (short and long term)
- 27 ● The procedure must be sensitive and specific for the condition being evaluated  
28 (e.g., an appropriate procedure is utilized to evaluate for pathology).

### 30 **Principles of Practitioner Clinical Services Management**

31 The first principle of clinical services management is to facilitate the early return to  
32 activity with associated reduction of symptoms, decrease of impairments, and the  
33 restoration of function. A second principle is that care should provide for  
34 improvement/recovery more efficiently than if no care had been delivered (improve upon  
35 the expected natural progression of the condition). The third principle is that chronicity  
36 should be prevented whenever possible. Psychosocial warning signs and/or over-  
37 dependence on the practitioner should be evaluated and monitored as appropriate. A  
38 fourth principle is that repeated use or reliance on acute care measures alone may foster  
39 chronicity, practitioner dependence by the member, and over-utilization of the  
40 practitioner's services. In addition, the use of passive modalities that have redundant  
41 physiological effect should not be employed.

1 The level of the patient's compliance with the recommended treatment regimen can affect  
2 the outcome of passive or active care.

3 Passive Care: Treatment/care that is rendered to the patient by the practitioner.

4 Active Care: Treatment/care performed by the patient (e.g., therapeutic exercise  
5 program or lifestyle modification).

6  
7 The planning for therapeutically necessary care can be divided into four phases, each  
8 having distinct objectives.

9  
10 Phase 1: Acute Intervention

- 11 A. To promote anatomical rest
- 12 B. To diminish severity of acute symptoms
- 13 C. To reduce inflammation
- 14 D. To alleviate pain

15  
16 Phase 2: Remobilization/Functional Improvement

- 17 A. To increase the range of pain-free motion/ADL function
- 18 B. To minimize deconditioning

19  
20 Phase 3: Rehabilitation

- 21 A. To restore strength and endurance
- 22 B. To increase physical work capacity

23  
24 Phase 4: Lifestyle Adaptations

- 25 A. To modify social and recreational activity, if necessary
- 26 B. To diminish work environmental risk factors
- 27 C. To adapt psychological factors affecting or altered by the disorder.

28  
29 The practitioner should keep in mind that these phases will overlap and there may not be  
30 clear cut delineation among them. It is beneficial to proceed to the remobilization phase  
31 (if warranted) as rapidly as possible and to minimize dependency upon passive forms of  
32 treatment/care.

33  
34 In general, the initiation of care is warranted if there are no contraindications to  
35 prescribed care, there is reasonable evidence to suggest the efficacy of the prescribed  
36 intervention, and the intervention is within the scope of services permitted by State or  
37 Federal law. The treatment submission for a disorder is typically structured in time-  
38 limited increments (e.g., 30-day increments with follow-up reporting every 30 days).  
39 However, when the practitioner discovers that a member is non-responsive to the applied  
40 interventions within a two (2) week interval, re-evaluation and treatment modification  
41 should be implemented and documented.

42

1 Successful management of the member's treatment plan/program involves the effective  
2 exchange of clinical information between the contracted practitioner and the clinical  
3 services manager. By following these practice parameters and review criteria as decision-  
4 assist tools, the contracted practitioner will effectively interact within the ASHA clinical  
5 services evaluation system.